

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/804,999	HUFFMAN, JAMES W.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark A Osele	1734

All Participants:

(1) Mark A Osele.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Keith Bergman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Not applicable

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 3

Prior art documents discussed:

Not applicable

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed to spelling and punctuation changes for clarity.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MARK A. OSELE
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)